

The fractional Poisson measure in infinite dimensions

M. J. Oliveira^{*,†}, H. Ouerdiane[‡], J. L. Silva[§] and R. Vilela Mendes^{*,¶}

February 10, 2010

Abstract

The Mittag-Leffler function E_α being a natural generalization of the exponential function, an infinite-dimensional version of the fractional Poisson measure would have a characteristic functional

$$C_\alpha(\varphi) := E_\alpha \left(\int (e^{i\varphi(x)} - 1) d\mu(x) \right)$$

which we prove to fulfill all requirements of the Bochner-Minlos theorem.

The identity of the support of this new measure with the support of the infinite-dimensional Poisson measure ($\alpha = 1$) allows the development of a fractional infinite-dimensional analysis modeled on Poisson analysis through the combinatorial harmonic analysis on configuration spaces. This setting provides, in particular, explicit formulas for annihilation, creation, and second quantization operators. In spite of the identity of the supports, the fractional Poisson measure displays some noticeable differences in relation to the Poisson measure, which may be physically quite significant.

Keywords: Poisson measure, Fractional, Infinite-dimensional analysis
MSC 28C20, 60G55

1 Introduction

The Poisson measure π in $\mathbb{R}$ (or $\mathbb{N}$) is

$$\pi(A) = e^{-\sigma} \sum_{n \in A} \frac{\sigma^n}{n!}$$

^{*}Centro de Matemática e Aplicações Fundamentais, Av. Prof. Gama Pinto 2, 1649-003 Lisboa, Portugal

[†]Universidade Aberta, Lisboa, Portugal, oliveira@cii.fc.ul.pt

[‡]Département de Mathématiques, Faculté des Sciences de Tunis, 1060 Tunis, Tunisia, habib.ouerdiane@fst.rnu.tn

[§]CCM, University of Madeira, 9000-390 Funchal, Portugal, luis@uma.pt

[¶]Instituto de Plasmas e Fusão Nuclear, IST, Lisboa, Portugal, rvilela.mendes@gmail.com, corresponding author

the parameter σ being called the *intensity*. The Laplace transform of π is

$$l_\pi(\lambda) = \mathbb{E}(e^{\lambda \cdot}) = e^{-\sigma} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\sigma^n}{n!} e^{\lambda n} = e^{\sigma(e^\lambda - 1)}$$

For n -tuples of independent Poisson variables one would have

$$l_\pi(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = e^{\sum \sigma_k (e^{\lambda_k} - 1)}$$

Continuing λ_k to imaginary arguments $\lambda_k = if_k$, the characteristic function is

$$C_\pi(\lambda) = e^{\sum \sigma_k (e^{if_k} - 1)} \quad (1)$$

Looked at as a renewal process, $P(X = n) = e^{-\sigma} \frac{\sigma^n}{n!}$ would be the probability of n events occurring in the time interval σ . The survival probability, that is, the probability of no event is

$$\Psi(\sigma) = e^{-\sigma}$$

which satisfies the equation

$$\frac{d}{d\sigma} \Psi(\sigma) = -\Psi(\sigma) \quad (2)$$

Replacing in (2) the derivative $\frac{d}{d\sigma}$ by the (Caputo) fractional derivative

$$D^\alpha \Psi(\sigma) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \int_0^\sigma \frac{\Psi'(\tau)}{(\sigma - \tau)^\alpha} d\tau = -\Psi(\sigma) \quad (0 < \alpha < 1)$$

one has the solution

$$\Psi(\sigma) = E_\alpha(-\sigma^\alpha)$$

with E_α being the Mittag-Leffler function of parameter α

$$E_\alpha(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^n}{\Gamma(\alpha n + 1)}, \quad z \in \mathbb{C} \quad (3)$$

($\alpha > 0$). One then obtains a *fractional Poisson process* [3], [13] with the probability of n events

$$P(X = n) = \frac{\sigma^{\alpha n}}{n!} E_\alpha^{(n)}(-\sigma^\alpha)$$

$E_\alpha^{(n)}$ denoting the n -th derivative of the Mittag-Leffler function. In contrast with the Poisson case ($\alpha = 1$), this process has power law asymptotics rather than exponential, which implies that it is not anymore Markovian. The characteristic function of this process is given by

$$C_\alpha(\lambda) = E_\alpha(\sigma^\alpha (e^{i\lambda} - 1))$$

In this paper we develop an infinite-dimensional generalization of the fractional Poisson measure and its analysis.

2 Infinite-dimensional fractional Poisson measures

For the Poisson measure ($\alpha = 1$) an infinite-dimensional generalization is obtained by generalizing (1) to

$$C(\varphi) = e^{\int (e^{i\varphi(x)} - 1) d\mu(x)} \quad (4)$$

for test functions $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(M)$, $\mathcal{D}(M)$ being the space of C^∞ -functions of compact support in a manifold M (fixed from the very beginning), and then using the Bochner-Minlos theorem to show that C is the Fourier transform of a measure on the distribution space $\mathcal{D}'(M)$. Because the Mittag-Leffler function is a “natural” generalization of the exponential function one conjectures that an infinite-dimensional version of the fractional Poisson measure would have a characteristic functional

$$C_\alpha(\varphi) := E_\alpha \left(\int (e^{i\varphi(x)} - 1) d\mu(x) \right), \quad \varphi \in \mathcal{D}(M) \quad (5)$$

with μ a positive intensity measure fixed on the underlying manifold M . However, a priori it is not obvious that this is the Fourier transform of a measure on $\mathcal{D}'(M)$ nor that it corresponds to independent processes because the Mittag-Leffler function does not satisfy the factorization properties of the exponential.

Similarly to the Poisson case, to carry out our construction and analysis in detail we always assume that M is a geodesically complete connected oriented (non-compact) Riemannian C^∞ -manifold, where we fix the corresponding Borel σ -algebra $\mathcal{B}(M)$, and μ is a non-atomic Radon measure, which we assume to be non-degenerate (i.e., $\mu(O) > 0$ for all non-empty open sets $O \subset M$). Having in mind the most interesting applications, we also assume that $\mu(M) = \infty$.

Theorem 1 *For each $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ fixed, the functional C_α in Eq. (5) is the characteristic functional of a probability measure π_μ^α on the distribution space $\mathcal{D}'(M)$.*

Proof. That C_α is continuous and $C_\alpha(0) = 1$ follows easily from the properties of the Mittag-Leffler function. To check the positivity one uses the complete monotonicity of E_α , $0 < \alpha < 1$, which by Appendix A (Lemma 7) implies the integral representation

$$E_\alpha(-z) = \int_0^\infty e^{-\tau z} d\nu_\alpha(\tau) \quad (6)$$

for any $z \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $\operatorname{Re}(z) \geq 0$, ν_α being the probability measure (14). Hence by (6)

$$\sum_{a,b} C_\alpha(\varphi_a - \varphi_b) z_a^* z_b = \int_0^\infty d\nu_\alpha(\tau) \sum_{a,b} e^{-\tau \int_M d\mu(x) (1 - e^{i(\varphi_a - \varphi_b)})} z_a^* z_b \quad (7)$$

Each one of the terms in the integrand corresponds to the characteristic function of a Poisson measure. Thus, for each τ the integrand is positive and therefore the spectral integral (7) is also positive. From the Bochner-Minlos theorem it then follows that C_α is the characteristic functional of a probability measure π_μ^α on the measurable space $(\mathcal{D}'(M), \mathcal{C}_\sigma(\mathcal{D}'(M)))$, $\mathcal{C}_\sigma(\mathcal{D}'(M))$ being the σ -algebra generated by the cylinder sets.

For the $\alpha = 1$ case see e.g. [6]. ■

Introducing the fractional Poisson measure by the above approach yields a probability measure on $(\mathcal{D}'(M), \mathcal{C}_\sigma(\mathcal{D}'(M)))$. The next step is to find an appropriate support for the fractional Poisson measure. Using the analyticity of the Mittag-Leffler function one may informally rewrite (5) as

$$\begin{aligned} C_\alpha(\varphi) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{E_\alpha^{(n)}(-\int d\mu(x))}{n!} \left(\int e^{i\varphi(x)} d\mu(x) \right)^n \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{E_\alpha^{(n)}(-\int d\mu(x))}{n!} \int e^{i(\varphi(x_1)+\varphi(x_2)+\dots+\varphi(x_n))} d\mu^{\otimes n} \end{aligned}$$

For the Poisson case ($\alpha = 1$) instead of $E_\alpha^{(n)}(-\int d\mu(x))$ one would have $\exp(-\int d\mu(x))$ for all n , the rest being the same. Therefore one concludes that the main difference in the fractional case ($\alpha \neq 1$) is that a different weight is given to each n -particle space, but that a configuration space [1], [2] is also the natural support of the fractional Poisson measure. The explicit construction is made in Section 3.

Notice however that the different weights, multiplying the n -particle space measures, are physically quite significant in that they have decays, for large volumes, much smaller than the corresponding exponential factor in the Poisson measure.

Using now the spectral representation (6) of the Mittag-Leffler function one may rewrite (5) as

$$C_\alpha(\varphi) = \int_0^\infty \exp\left(\tau \int (e^{i\varphi(x)} - 1) d\mu(x)\right) d\nu_\alpha(\tau)$$

with the integrand being the characteristic function of the Poisson measure $\pi_{\tau\mu}$, $\tau > 0$. In other words, the characteristic functional (5) coincides with the characteristic functional of the measure $\int_0^\infty \pi_{\tau\mu} d\nu_\alpha(\tau)$. By uniqueness, this implies the integral decomposition

$$\pi_\mu^\alpha = \int_0^\infty \pi_{\tau\mu} d\nu_\alpha(\tau)$$

meaning that π_μ^α is an integral (or mixture) of Poisson measures $\pi_{\tau\mu}$, $\tau > 0$.

3 Support properties of the fractional Poisson measure

3.1 Configuration spaces

The *configuration space* $\Gamma := \Gamma_M$ over the manifold M is defined as the set of all locally finite subsets of M (simple configurations),

$$\Gamma := \{\gamma \subset M : |\gamma \cap K| < \infty \text{ for any compact } K \subset M\} \quad (8)$$

Here (and below) $|A|$ denotes the cardinality of a set A .

As usual we identify each $\gamma \in \Gamma$ with a non-negative integer-valued Radon measure,

$$\Gamma \ni \gamma \mapsto \sum_{x \in \gamma} \delta_x \in \mathcal{M}(M)$$

where δ_x is the Dirac measure with unit mass at x , $\sum_{x \in \emptyset} \delta_x :=$ zero measure, and $\mathcal{M}(M)$ denotes the set of all non-negative Radon measures on $\mathcal{B}(M)$. In this way the space Γ can be endowed with the relative topology as a subset of the space $\mathcal{M}(M)$ with the vague topology, i.e., the weakest topology on Γ for which the mappings

$$\Gamma \ni \gamma \mapsto \langle \gamma, f \rangle := \int_M f(x) d\gamma(x) = \sum_{x \in \gamma} f(x)$$

are continuous for all real-valued continuous functions f on M with compact support. We denote the corresponding Borel σ -algebra on Γ by $\mathcal{B}(\Gamma)$.

For each $Y \in \mathcal{B}(M)$ let us consider the space Γ_Y of all configurations contained in Y ,

$$\Gamma_Y := \{\gamma \in \Gamma : |\gamma \cap (X \setminus Y)| = 0\}$$

and the space $\Gamma_Y^{(n)}$ of n -point configurations,

$$\Gamma_Y^{(n)} := \{\gamma \in \Gamma_Y : |\gamma| = n\}, n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad \Gamma_Y^{(0)} := \{\emptyset\}$$

A topological structure may be introduced on $\Gamma_Y^{(n)}$ through the natural surjective mapping of

$$\widetilde{Y}^n := \{(x_1, \dots, x_n) : x_i \in Y, x_i \neq x_j \text{ if } i \neq j\}$$

onto $\Gamma_Y^{(n)}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{sym}_Y^n : \widetilde{Y}^n &\longrightarrow \Gamma_Y^{(n)} \\ (x_1, \dots, x_n) &\longmapsto \{x_1, \dots, x_n\} \end{aligned}$$

which is at the origin of a bijection between $\Gamma_Y^{(n)}$ and the symmetrization $\widetilde{Y}^n / S_n$ of $\widetilde{Y}^n$, S_n being the permutation group over $\{1, \dots, n\}$. Thus, sym_Y^n induces a metric on $\Gamma_Y^{(n)}$ and the corresponding Borel σ -algebra $\mathcal{B}(\Gamma_Y^{(n)})$ on $\Gamma_Y^{(n)}$.

For $\Lambda \in \mathcal{B}(M)$ with compact closure ($\Lambda \in \mathcal{B}_c(M)$ for short), it clearly follows from (8) that

$$\Gamma_\Lambda = \bigsqcup_{n=0}^{\infty} \Gamma_\Lambda^{(n)}$$

One defines the σ -algebra $\mathcal{B}(\Gamma_\Lambda)$ by the disjoint union of the σ -algebras $\mathcal{B}(\Gamma_\Lambda^{(n)})$, $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$.

For each $\Lambda \in \mathcal{B}_c(M)$ there is a natural measurable mapping $p_\Lambda : \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma_\Lambda$. Similarly, given any pair $\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2 \in \mathcal{B}_c(M)$ with $\Lambda_1 \subset \Lambda_2$ there is a natural mapping $p_{\Lambda_2, \Lambda_1} : \Gamma_{\Lambda_2} \rightarrow \Gamma_{\Lambda_1}$. They are defined, respectively, by

$$\begin{aligned} p_\Lambda : \Gamma &\longrightarrow \Gamma_\Lambda & p_{\Lambda_2, \Lambda_1} : \Gamma_{\Lambda_2} &\longrightarrow \Gamma_{\Lambda_1} \\ \gamma &\longmapsto \gamma_\Lambda := \gamma \cap \Lambda & \gamma &\longmapsto \gamma_{\Lambda_1} \end{aligned}$$

It can be shown (cf. [17]) that $(\Gamma, \mathcal{B}(\Gamma))$ coincides (up to an isomorphism) with the projective limit of the measurable spaces $(\Gamma_\Lambda, \mathcal{B}(\Gamma_\Lambda))$, $\Lambda \in \mathcal{B}_c(M)$, with respect to the projection p_Λ , i.e., $\mathcal{B}(\Gamma)$ is the smallest σ -algebra on Γ with respect to which all projections p_Λ , $\Lambda \in \mathcal{B}_c(M)$, are measurable.

3.2 Fractional Poisson measure on Γ

Given a measure μ on the underlying measurable space $(M, \mathcal{B}(M))$ described before, consider for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ the product measure $\mu^{\otimes n}$ on $(M^n, \mathcal{B}(M^n))$. Since $\mu^{\otimes n}(\widetilde{M^n \setminus M^n}) = 0$, one may consider for each $\Lambda \in \mathcal{B}_c(M)$ the restriction of $\mu^{\otimes n}$ to $(\widetilde{\Lambda^n}, \mathcal{B}(\widetilde{\Lambda^n}))$, which is a finite measure, and then the image measure $\mu_\Lambda^{(n)}$ on $(\Gamma_\Lambda^{(n)}, \mathcal{B}(\Gamma_\Lambda^{(n)}))$ under the mapping sym_Λ^n ,

$$\mu_\Lambda^{(n)} := \mu^{\otimes n} \circ (\text{sym}_\Lambda^n)^{-1}$$

For $n = 0$ we set $\mu_\Lambda^{(0)} := 1^1$. Now, for each $0 < \alpha < 1$ one may define a probability measure $\pi_{\mu, \Lambda}^\alpha$ on $(\Gamma_\Lambda, \mathcal{B}(\Gamma_\Lambda))$ by

$$\pi_{\mu, \Lambda}^\alpha := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{E_\alpha^{(n)}(-\mu(\Lambda))}{n!} \mu_\Lambda^{(n)} \quad (9)$$

The family $\{\pi_{\mu, \Lambda}^\alpha : \Lambda \in \mathcal{B}_c(M)\}$ of probability measures yields a probability measure on $(\Gamma, \mathcal{B}(\Gamma))$. In fact, this family is consistent, that is,

$$\pi_{\mu, \Lambda_1}^\alpha = \pi_{\mu, \Lambda_2}^\alpha \circ p_{\Lambda_2, \Lambda_1}^{-1}, \quad \forall \Lambda_1, \Lambda_2 \in \mathcal{B}_c(M), \Lambda_1 \subset \Lambda_2$$

and thus, by the version of Kolmogorov's theorem for the projective limit space $(\Gamma, \mathcal{B}(\Gamma))$ [15, Chap. V Theorem 5.1], the family $\{\pi_{\mu, \Lambda}^\alpha : \Lambda \in \mathcal{B}_c(M)\}$ determines uniquely a measure π_μ^α on $(\Gamma, \mathcal{B}(\Gamma))$ such that

$$\pi_{\mu, \Lambda}^\alpha = \pi_\mu^\alpha \circ p_\Lambda^{-1}, \quad \forall \Lambda \in \mathcal{B}_c(M)$$

¹Of course this construction holds for any Borel set $Y \in \mathcal{B}(M)$. In this case, $\mu_Y^{(n)}(\Gamma_Y^{(n)}) < \infty$ provided $\mu(Y) < \infty$. For more details and proofs see e.g. [7], [8].

Let us now compute the characteristic functional of the measure π_μ^α . Given a $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(M)$ we have $\text{supp } \varphi \subset \Lambda$ for some $\Lambda \in \mathcal{B}_c(M)$, meaning that

$$\langle \gamma, \varphi \rangle = \langle p_\Lambda(\gamma), \varphi \rangle, \quad \forall \gamma \in \Gamma$$

Thus

$$\int_\Gamma e^{i\langle \gamma, \varphi \rangle} d\pi_\mu^\alpha(\gamma) = \int_{\Gamma_\Lambda} e^{i\langle \gamma, \varphi \rangle} d\pi_{\mu, \Lambda}^\alpha(\gamma)$$

and the infinite divisibility (9) of the measure $\pi_{\mu, \Lambda}^\alpha$ yields for the right-hand side of the equality

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{E_\alpha^{(n)}(-\mu(\Lambda))}{n!} \int_{\Lambda^n} e^{i(\varphi(x_1) + \dots + \varphi(x_n))} d\mu^{\otimes n}(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{E_\alpha^{(n)}(-\mu(\Lambda))}{n!} \left(\int_\Lambda e^{i\varphi(x)} d\mu(x) \right)^n$$

which corresponds to the Taylor expansion of the function

$$E_\alpha \left(\int_\Lambda (e^{i\varphi(x)} - 1) d\mu(x) \right) = E_\alpha \left(\int_M (e^{i\varphi(x)} - 1) d\mu(x) \right)$$

In other words, the characteristic functional of the measure π_μ^α coincides with the characteristic functional of the probability measure given by Theorem 1 through the Bochner-Minlos theorem.

Similarly to the $\alpha = 1$ case, this shows that the probability measure on $(\mathcal{D}'(M), \mathcal{C}_\sigma(\mathcal{D}'(M)))$ given by Theorem 1 is actually supported on generalized functions of the form $\sum_{x \in \gamma} \delta_x$, $\gamma \in \Gamma$. Thus, each fractional Poisson measure π_μ^α can either be considered on $(\Gamma, \mathcal{B}(\Gamma))$ or on $(\mathcal{D}', \mathcal{C}_\sigma(\mathcal{D}'(M)))$ where, in contrast to Γ , $\mathcal{D}'(M) \supset \Gamma$ is a linear space. Since $\pi_\mu^\alpha(\Gamma) = 1$, the measure space $(\mathcal{D}'(M), \mathcal{C}_\sigma(\mathcal{D}'(M)), \pi_\mu^\alpha)$ can, in this way, be regarded as a linear extension of the fractional Poisson space $(\Gamma, \mathcal{B}(\Gamma), \pi_\mu^\alpha)$.

4 Fractional Poisson analysis

4.1 Fractional Lebesgue-Poisson measure and unitary isomorphisms

Let us now consider the space of finite configurations

$$\Gamma_0 := \bigsqcup_{n=0}^{\infty} \Gamma_M^{(n)}$$

endowed with the topology of disjoint union of topological spaces, with the corresponding Borel σ -algebra $\mathcal{B}(\Gamma_0)$ and the so-called K -transform [7], [9], [10], [11], [12], a mapping which maps functions defined on Γ_0 into functions defined on Γ . By definition, given a $\mathcal{B}(\Gamma_0)$ -measurable function G with local support,

that is, $G|_{\Gamma_0 \setminus \Gamma_\Lambda} \equiv 0$ for some $\Lambda \in \mathcal{B}_c(M)$, the K -transform of G is a mapping $KG : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined at each $\gamma \in \Gamma$ by

$$(KG)(\gamma) := \sum_{\substack{\eta \subset \gamma \\ |\eta| < \infty}} G(\eta) \quad (10)$$

Note that for every such function G the sum in (10) has only a finite number of summands different from zero, and thus KG is a well-defined function on Γ . Moreover, if G has support described as before, then the restriction $(KG)|_{\Gamma_\Lambda}$ is a $\mathcal{B}(\Gamma_\Lambda)$ -measurable function and $(KG)(\gamma) = (KG)|_{\Gamma_\Lambda}(\gamma_\Lambda)$ for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$.

In terms of the dual operator K^* of the K -transform, this means that the image of a probability measure on Γ under K^* yields a measure on Γ_0 . More precisely, given a probability measure ν on $(\Gamma, \mathcal{B}(\Gamma))$ with finite local moments of all orders, that is,

$$\int_{\Gamma} |\gamma_\Lambda|^n d\nu(\gamma) < \infty \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and all } \Lambda \in \mathcal{B}_c(M)$$

then $K^*\nu$ is a measure on $(\Gamma_0, \mathcal{B}(\Gamma_0))$ defined on each bounded $\mathcal{B}(\Gamma_0)$ -measurable set A by

$$(K^*\nu)(A) = \int_{\Gamma} (K\mathbf{1}_A)(\gamma) d\nu(\gamma)$$

The measure $K^*\nu$ is called the correlation measure corresponding to ν . In particular, for the Poisson measure π_μ , the correlation measure corresponding to π_μ is called the Lebesgue-Poisson measure

$$\lambda_\mu := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \mu^{(n)}, \quad \mu^{(n)} := \mu^{\otimes n} \circ (\text{sym}_M^n)^{-1}$$

For more details and proofs see e.g. [7].

Theorem 2 *For each $0 < \alpha < 1$, the correlation measure corresponding to the fractional Poisson measure π_μ^α is the measure on $(\Gamma_0, \mathcal{B}(\Gamma_0))$ given by*

$$\lambda_\mu^\alpha := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha n + 1)} \mu^{(n)} \quad (11)$$

In other words, $d\lambda_\mu^\alpha = E_\alpha^{(|\cdot|)}(0) d\lambda_\mu$.

In the sequel we call the measure λ_μ^α the *fractional Lebesgue-Poisson measure*.

Proof. Let A be a bounded $\mathcal{B}(\Gamma_0)$ -measurable set, that is,

$$A \subset \bigsqcup_{n=0}^N \Gamma_\Lambda^{(n)}$$

for some $N \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and some $\Lambda \in \mathcal{B}_c(M)$. By the previous considerations, this means that for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$ one has $(K\mathbf{1}_A)(\gamma) = (K\mathbf{1}_A)(\gamma_\Lambda)$, and thus

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Gamma} (K\mathbf{1}_A)(\gamma) d\pi_{\mu}^{\alpha}(\gamma) &= \int_{\Gamma_{\Lambda}} (K\mathbf{1}_A)(\gamma) d\pi_{\mu, \Lambda}^{\alpha}(\gamma) \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{E_{\alpha}^{(n)}(-\mu(\Lambda))}{n!} \int_{\Gamma_{\Lambda}^{(n)}} (K\mathbf{1}_A)(\eta) d\mu_{\Lambda}^{(n)}(\eta) \\ &= \int_{\Gamma_{\Lambda}} E_{\alpha}^{(|\eta|)}(-\mu(\Lambda))(K\mathbf{1}_A)(\eta) d\lambda_{\mu}(\eta) \end{aligned}$$

Observe that the latter integral is with respect to the Lebesgue-Poisson measure λ_{μ} , which properties are well-known (see e.g. [7]). In particular, those yield

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\Gamma_{\Lambda}} E_{\alpha}^{(|\eta|)}(-\mu(\Lambda))(K\mathbf{1}_A)(\eta) d\lambda_{\mu}(\eta) \\ &= \int_{\Gamma_0} E_{\alpha}^{(|\eta|)}(-\mu(\Lambda)) \sum_{\xi \subset \eta} \mathbf{1}_A(\xi) \mathbf{1}_{\Gamma_{\Lambda}}(\eta \setminus \xi) d\lambda_{\mu}(\eta) \\ &= \int_{\Gamma_0} \mathbf{1}_A(\eta) \left(\int_{\Gamma_0} E_{\alpha}^{(|\eta \cup \xi|)}(-\mu(\Lambda)) \mathbf{1}_{\Gamma_{\Lambda}}(\xi) d\lambda_{\mu}(\xi) \right) d\lambda_{\mu}(\eta) \end{aligned}$$

where for each $\eta \in \Gamma_0$ fixed, i.e., $\eta \in \Gamma_M^{(m)}$ for some $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$, the integral between brackets is given by

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \int_{\Gamma_M^{(n)}} E_{\alpha}^{(|\eta \cup \xi|)}(-\mu(\Lambda)) \mathbf{1}_{\Gamma_{\Lambda}}(\xi) d\mu^{(n)}(\xi) \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{E_{\alpha}^{(m+n)}(-\mu(\Lambda))}{n!} (\mu(\Lambda))^n \\ &= E_{\alpha}^{(m)}(-\mu(\Lambda) + \mu(\Lambda)) \end{aligned}$$

As a result,

$$\int_{\Gamma} (K\mathbf{1}_A)(\gamma) d\pi_{\mu}^{\alpha}(\gamma) = \int_{\Gamma_0} \mathbf{1}_A(\eta) E_{\alpha}^{(|\eta|)}(0) d\lambda_{\mu}(\eta)$$

showing that the correlation measure corresponding to π_{μ}^{α} is absolutely continuous with respect to the Lebesgue-Poisson measure λ_{μ} . Moreover, denoting such a correlation measure by λ_{μ}^{α} , the density is given by $\frac{d\lambda_{\mu}^{\alpha}}{d\lambda_{\mu}} = E_{\alpha}^{(|\cdot|)}(0)$.

To conclude, notice that for each $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ one has

$$E_{\alpha}^{(n)}(0) = \frac{n!}{\Gamma(\alpha n + 1)}$$

which combined with the definition of the measure λ_{μ} leads to (11). ■

Throughout this work all L^p -spaces consist of complex-valued functions. For simplicity, the L^p -spaces with respect to a measure ν will be shortly denoted by $L^p(\nu)$ if the underlying measurable space is clear from the context.

Corollary 3 We have $G \in L^2(\lambda_\mu^\alpha)$ if and only if $G\sqrt{E_\alpha^{(|\cdot|)}}(0) \in L^2(\lambda_\mu)$. Then,

$$\|G\|_{L^2(\lambda_\mu^\alpha)} = \left\| G\sqrt{E_\alpha^{(|\cdot|)}}(0) \right\|_{L^2(\lambda_\mu)}$$

This result states that there is a unitary isomorphism between the spaces $L^2(\lambda_\mu^\alpha)$ and $L^2(\lambda_\mu)$:

$$\begin{aligned} I_\alpha : L^2(\lambda_\mu^\alpha) &\rightarrow L^2(\lambda_\mu) \\ I_\alpha(G) &:= G\sqrt{E_\alpha^{(|\cdot|)}}(0) \end{aligned}$$

Hence, through I_α one may extend the unitary isomorphisms defined between the space $L^2(\lambda_\mu)$ and the (Bose or symmetric) Fock space $\text{Exp}L^2(\mu)$ and between the space $L^2(\lambda_\mu)$ and $L^2(\pi_\mu)$ [8], [14] to $L^2(\lambda_\mu^\alpha)$, $0 < \alpha \leq 1$:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} L^2(\lambda_\mu^\alpha) & \xrightarrow{I_\alpha} & L^2(\lambda_\mu) & \xrightarrow{I_\pi} & L^2(\pi_\mu) & \xrightarrow{I_\pi} & \text{Exp}L^2(\mu) \\ G & \mapsto & G\sqrt{E_\alpha^{(|\cdot|)}}(0) & \mapsto & \sum_{n=0}^\infty \langle C_n^\mu, g^{(n)} \rangle & \mapsto & (g^{(n)})_{n=0}^\infty \end{array}$$

for

$$g^{(n)}(x_1, \dots, x_n) := \frac{\sqrt{E_\alpha^{(n)}}(0)}{n!} G(\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}), \quad g^{(0)} := E_\alpha(0)G(\emptyset) = G(\emptyset)$$

and C_n^μ a Charlier kernel.

In particular, the image of a Fock coherent state $e(f) := (\frac{f^{\otimes n}}{n!})_{n=0}^\infty$, $f \in L^2(\mu)$, under $(I_\pi \circ I_{\lambda_\pi})^{-1}$ is the (Lebesgue-Poisson) coherent state $e_\lambda(f) : \Gamma_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ defined for any $\mathcal{B}(M)$ -measurable function $f : M \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by

$$e_\lambda(f, \eta) := \prod_{x \in \eta} f(x), \quad \eta \in \Gamma_0 \setminus \{\emptyset\}, \quad e_\lambda(f, \emptyset) := 1$$

This definition implies that $e_\lambda(f) \in L^p(\lambda_\mu)$ whenever $f \in L^p(\mu)$ for some $p \geq 1$. Moreover, $\|e_\lambda(f)\|_{L^p(\lambda_\mu)}^p = \exp(\|f\|_{L^p(\mu)}^p)$. For $\alpha \neq 1$, the following result holds.

Proposition 4 Let $0 < \alpha < 1$ and $p \geq 1$ be given. For all $f \in L^p(\mu)$ we have $e_\lambda(f) \in L^p(\lambda_\mu^\alpha)$ and

$$\|e_\lambda(f)\|_{L^p(\lambda_\mu^\alpha)}^p = E_\alpha\left(\|f\|_{L^p(\mu)}^p\right)$$

Proof. By Theorem 2, given a $f \in L^p(\mu)$ for some $p \geq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|e_\lambda(f)\|_{L^p(\lambda_\mu^\alpha)}^p &= \int_{\Gamma_0} |e_\lambda(f, \eta)|^p E_\alpha^{(|\eta|)}(0) d\lambda_\mu(\eta) \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^\infty \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha n + 1)} \left(\int_M |f(x)|^p d\mu(x) \right)^n \end{aligned}$$

which by the Taylor expansion (3) is equal to $E_\alpha \left(\int_M |f(x)|^p d\mu(x) \right)$. $\blacksquare$

According to the latter considerations, the realization of a coherent state $e(f)$, $f \in L^2(\mu)$, in a $L^2(\lambda_\mu^\alpha)$ space is λ_μ -a.e. given by

$$I_\alpha^{-1} e_\lambda(f) = \frac{e_\lambda(f)}{\sqrt{E_\alpha^{(|\cdot|)}(0)}} \quad (12)$$

In addition, given a dense subspace $L \subseteq L^2(\mu)$, the set $\{I_\alpha^{-1} e_\lambda(f) : f \in L\}$ is total in $L^2(\lambda_\mu^\alpha)$. As in the Lebesgue-Poisson case, we define the fractional (Lebesgue-Poisson) coherent state $e_\alpha(f) : \Gamma_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ corresponding to a $\mathcal{B}(M)$ -measurable function f by

$$e_\alpha(f, \eta) := \frac{e_\lambda(f, \eta)}{\sqrt{E_\alpha^{(|\eta|)}(0)}}, \quad \forall \eta \in \Gamma_0$$

4.2 Annihilation and creation operators

The unitary isomorphism between the Fock space and $L^2(\lambda_\mu)$ provides natural operators on the space $L^2(\lambda_\mu)$ by carrying over the standard Fock space operators. In particular, the annihilation and the creation operators, for which the images in $L^2(\lambda_\mu)$ are well-known, see e.g. [5],

$$(a_\lambda^-(\varphi)G)(\eta) := \int_M G(\eta \cup \{x\}) \varphi(x) d\mu(x), \quad \eta \in \Gamma_0$$

and

$$(a_\lambda^+(\varphi)G)(\eta) := \sum_{x \in \eta} G(\eta \setminus \{x\}) \varphi(x), \quad \lambda_\mu - a.a. \eta \in \Gamma_0$$

Here $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(M)$ and G is a complex-valued bounded $\mathcal{B}(\Gamma_0)$ -measurable function with bounded support, i.e., $G|_{\Gamma_0 \setminus (\bigsqcup_{n=0}^N \Gamma_\lambda^{(n)})} \equiv 0$ for some $\Lambda \in \mathcal{B}_c(M)$ and some $N \in \mathbb{N}_0$. In the sequel we denote the space of such functions G by $B_{bs}(\Gamma_0)$.

For more details and proofs see e.g. [8], [14] and the references therein.

Through the unitary isomorphism I_α^{-1} , $0 < \alpha < 1$, the same Fock space operators can naturally be carried over to the space $L^2(\lambda_\mu^\alpha)$.

Proposition 5 *For each $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(M)$, the following relations hold on $B_{bs}(\Gamma_0)$:*

$$a_\alpha^-(\varphi) := I_\alpha^{-1} a_\lambda^-(\varphi) I_\alpha = \sqrt{\frac{E_\alpha^{(|\cdot|+1)}(0)}{E_\alpha^{(|\cdot|)}(0)}} a_\lambda^-(\varphi)$$

and

$$a_\alpha^+(\varphi) := I_\alpha^{-1} a_\lambda^+(\varphi) I_\alpha = \sqrt{\frac{E_\alpha^{(|\cdot|-1)}(0)}{E_\alpha^{(|\cdot|)}(0)}} a_\lambda^+(\varphi)$$

Proof. One first observes that I_α maps the space $B_{bs}(\Gamma_0)$ into itself. In fact, given a $G \in B_{bs}(\Gamma_0)$, i.e., $G|_{\Gamma_0 \setminus (\bigsqcup_{n=0}^N \Gamma_\Lambda^{(n)})} \equiv 0$ for some $\Lambda \in \mathcal{B}_c(M)$ and some $N \in \mathbb{N}_0$, one has

$$|(I_\alpha G)(\eta)| = \sqrt{E_\alpha^{(|\eta|)}(0)} |G(\eta)| \leq \max_{0 \leq n \leq N} \sqrt{\frac{n!}{\Gamma(\alpha n + 1)}} \sup_{\eta \in \Gamma_0} (|G(\eta)|), \quad \forall \eta \in \Gamma_0$$

showing that $I_\alpha G$ is bounded. Since the support of $I_\alpha G$ clearly coincides with the support of G , this means that $I_\alpha G \in B_{bs}(\Gamma_0)$.

Hence, given a $G \in B_{bs}(\Gamma_0)$, for all $\eta \in \Gamma_0$ one has

$$\begin{aligned} (a_\lambda^-(\varphi)(I_\alpha G))(\eta) &= \int_M (I_\alpha G)(\eta \cup \{x\}) \varphi(x) d\mu(x) \\ &= \sqrt{E_\alpha^{(|\eta|+1)}(0)} (a_\lambda^-(\varphi)G)(\eta) \end{aligned}$$

which proves the first equality by calculating the image of both sides under I_α^{-1} . A similar procedure applied to $a_\alpha^+(\varphi)$ completes the proof. $\blacksquare$

4.3 Second quantization operators

Given a contraction operator B on $L^2(\mu)$ one may define a contraction operator $\text{Exp} B$ on the Fock space $\text{Exp} L^2(\mu)$ acting on coherent states $e(f)$, $f \in L^2(\mu)$, by $\text{Exp} B(e(f)) = e(Bf)$. In particular, given a positive self-adjoint operator A on $L^2(\mu)$ and the contraction semigroup e^{-tA} , $t \geq 0$, one can define a contraction semigroup $\text{Exp}(e^{-tA})$ on $\text{Exp} L^2(\mu)$ in this way. The generator is the well-known second quantization operator corresponding to A . We denote it by $d\text{Exp} A$. Through the unitary isomorphism between the Fock space and the space $L^2(\lambda_\mu)$ one may then define the corresponding operator in $L^2(\lambda_\mu)$. We denote the (Lebesgue-Poisson) second quantization operator corresponding to A by H_A^{LP} . The action of H_A^{LP} on coherent states is given by

$$(H_A^{LP} e_\lambda(f))(\eta) = \sum_{x \in \eta} (Af)(x) e_\lambda(f, \eta \setminus \{x\}), \quad f \in D(A)$$

Through the unitary isomorphism I_α^{-1} , $0 < \alpha < 1$, the second quantization operator can also be carried over to the space $L^2(\lambda_\mu^\alpha)$:

$$H_A^\alpha := I_\alpha^{-1} H_A^{LP} I_\alpha$$

Proposition 6 *For any $f \in D(A)$ we have*

$$(H_A^\alpha e_\alpha(f))(\eta) = \sqrt{\frac{E_\alpha^{(|\eta|-1)}(0)}{E_\alpha^{(|\eta|)}(0)}} \sum_{x \in \eta} (Af)(x) e_\alpha(f, \eta \setminus \{x\})$$

Proof. According to (12), $I_\alpha e_\alpha(f) = e_\lambda(f)$, and thus for λ_μ -a.a. $\eta \in \Gamma_0$,

$$(H_A^{LP}(I_\alpha e_\alpha(f))) (\eta) = (H_A^{LP} e_\lambda(f)) (\eta) = \sqrt{E_\alpha^{(|\eta|-1)}(0)} \sum_{x \in \eta} (Af)(x) e_\alpha(f, \eta \setminus \{x\})$$

leading to the required result by calculating the image of both sides under I_α^{-1} . ■

5 Conclusions

Replacing the exponential, in the characteristic functional (4) of the infinite-dimensional Poisson measure, by a Mittag-Leffler function one obtains the characteristic functional of a consistent measure in the distribution space $\mathcal{D}'(M)$. As for the infinite-dimensional Poisson measure the support of this new measure is spanned by distributions of the form $\sum \delta_x$, implying that it may also be interpreted as a measure in configuration spaces.

The identity of the supports allows for the development of a fractional infinite-dimensional analysis modeled on Poisson analysis. Although the support is the same, the new measure displays some noticeable differences in relation to the Poisson measure, namely, the much slower rate of decay of the weights for the n -particle space measures. This might have physical consequences, for example when such measures are used to describe interacting particle systems.

The different weight $E_\alpha^{(n)}(-\mu(\Lambda))$, given to each n -particle space, as opposed to the uniform $\exp(-\mu(\Lambda))$ of the Poisson case, also implies that through the isomorphism of Section 4 one obtains an interacting Fock space.

Appendix A. Complete monotonicity of the Mittag-Leffler function for complex arguments

A positive C^∞ -function f is said to be completely monotone if for each $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$

$$(-1)^k f^{(k)}(t) \geq 0, \quad \forall t > 0$$

According to Bernstein's theorem (see e.g. [4, Chapter XIII.4 Theorem 1]), for functions f such that $f(0^+) = 1$ the complete monotonicity property is equivalent to the existence of a probability measure ν on $\mathbb{R}_0^+$ such that

$$f(t) = \int_0^\infty e^{-t\tau} d\nu(\tau) < \infty, \quad \forall t > 0$$

H. Pollard in [16] proved the complete monotonicity of E_α , $0 < \alpha < 1$, for non-positive real arguments showing that

$$E_\alpha(-t) = \int_0^\infty e^{-t\tau} d\nu_\alpha(\tau), \quad \forall t \geq 0 \tag{13}$$

for ν_α being the probability measure on $\mathbb{R}_0^+$

$$d\nu_\alpha(\tau) := \alpha^{-1} \tau^{-1-1/\alpha} f_\alpha(\tau^{-1/\alpha}) d\tau \quad (14)$$

where f_α is the α -stable probability density given by

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-t\tau} f_\alpha(\tau) d\tau = e^{-t^\alpha}, \quad 0 < \alpha < 1$$

The complete monotonicity property and the integral representation (13) of E_α may be extended to complex arguments.

Lemma 7 *For any $z \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $\operatorname{Re}(z) \geq 0$, the following representation holds*

$$E_\alpha(-z) = \int_0^\infty e^{-z\tau} d\nu_\alpha(\tau), \quad 0 < \alpha \leq 1$$

Proof. According to [16], for each $0 < \alpha < 1$ fixed, for all $t \geq 0$ one has

$$\begin{aligned} E_\alpha(-t) &= \int_0^\infty e^{-t\tau} d\nu_\alpha(\tau), \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^\infty \frac{(-t)^n}{n!} \int_0^\infty \tau^n d\nu_\alpha(\tau) \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

Comparing (15) with the Taylor expansion (3) of E_α , one concludes that the moments of the measure ν_α are given by

$$m_n(\nu_\alpha) := \int_0^\infty \tau^n d\nu_\alpha(\tau) = \frac{n!}{\Gamma(\alpha n + 1)}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}_0$$

For complex values z let

$$I(-z) := \int_0^\infty e^{-z\tau} d\nu_\alpha(\tau)$$

which is finite provided $\operatorname{Re}(z) \geq 0$. For each $z \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $\operatorname{Re}(z) \geq 0$ one then obtains

$$I(-z) = \sum_{n=0}^\infty \frac{(-z)^n}{n!} \left(\int_0^\infty \tau^n d\nu_\alpha(\tau) \right) = \sum_{n=0}^\infty \frac{(-z)^n}{n!} m_n(\nu_\alpha) = \sum_{n=0}^\infty \frac{(-z)^n}{\Gamma(\alpha n + 1)} = E_\alpha(-z)$$

leading to the integral representation

$$E_\alpha(-z) = \int_0^\infty e^{-z\tau} d\nu_\alpha(\tau)$$

for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $\operatorname{Re}(z) \geq 0$. ■

References

- [1] S. Albeverio, Yu. G. Kondratiev and M. Röckner; *Analysis and geometry on configuration spaces*, J. Funct. Anal. 154 (1998) 444–500.
- [2] S. Albeverio, Yu. G. Kondratiev and M. Röckner; *Analysis and geometry on configuration spaces: The Gibbsian case*, J. Funct. Anal. 157 (1998) 242–291.
- [3] F. Cipriano, H. Ouerdiane and R. Vilela Mendes; *Stochastic solution of a KPP-type nonlinear fractional differential equation*, Fract. Calcul. and Appl. Analysis 12 (2009) 47–57.
- [4] W. Feller; *An introduction to probability theory and its applications, vol. II*, Second Edition, John Wiley & Sons, N. Y. 1971.
- [5] K.-H. Fichtner and G. Winkler; *Generalized Brownian motion, point processes and stochastic calculus for random fields*, Math. Nachr. 161 (1993) 291–307.
- [6] I. M. Gelfand and Ya. N. Vilenkin; *Generalized Functions, vol. IV*, Academic Press, N. Y. and London 1968.
- [7] Yu. G. Kondratiev and T. Kuna; *Harmonic analysis on configuration space I. General theory*, Infin. Dimens. Anal. Quantum Probab. Relat. Top. 5 (2002) 201–233.
- [8] Yu. G. Kondratiev, T. Kuna and M. J. Oliveira; *Analytic aspects of Poissonian white noise analysis*, Methods Funct. Anal. Topology 8 (2002) 15–48.
- [9] Yu. G. Kondratiev, T. Kuna and M. J. Oliveira *On the relations between Poissonian white noise analysis and harmonic analysis on configuration spaces*, J. Funct. Anal. 213 (2004) 1–30.
- [10] A. Lenard; *Correlation functions and the uniqueness of the state in classical statistical mechanics*, Commun. Math. Phys. 30 (1973) 35–44.
- [11] A. Lenard; *States of classical statistical mechanical systems of infinitely many particles I*, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. 59 (1975) 219–239.
- [12] A. Lenard; *States of classical statistical mechanical systems of infinitely many particles II*, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. 59 (1975) 241–256.
- [13] F. Mainardi, R. Gorenflo and E. Scalas; *A fractional generalization of the Poisson process*, Vietnam J. of Mathematics 32 (2004) 53–64.
- [14] M. J. Oliveira; *Configuration Space Analysis and Poissonian White Noise Analysis*, Ph. D. Thesis, Lisbon 2002, <http://www.math.uni-bielefeld.de/igk/study-materials/corpo.2.pdf>.

- [15] K. R. Parthasarathy; *Probability Measures on Metric Spaces*, Academic Press, N. Y. 1967.
- [16] H. Pollard; *The complete monotonic character of the Mittag-Leffler function $E_\alpha(-x)$* , Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 54 (1948) 1115–1116.
- [17] H. Shimomura; *Poisson measures on the configuration space and unitary representations of the group of diffeomorphisms*, J. Math. Kyoto Univ. 34 (1994) 599–614.